UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,834	02/28/2007	Johann Manner	4838-001	6903	
	9 7590 11/30/2010 WE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP			EXAMINER	
1700 DIAGON.		JUSKA, CHERYL ANN			
SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1798		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/30/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/560,834	MANNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl Juska	1798				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	/ IO OFT TO EVENE - MONTH!	0) 00 7 407 (00) 8 4 (0				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	ovember 2010.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,10 and 12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		` '				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
a)						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/560,834 Page 2

Art Unit: 1798

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 2, 2010, has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed with the RCE has been entered. Claims 1 and 12 have been amended as requested. Claims 5, 9, and 11 have been cancelled. Thus, the pending claims are 1-4, 6-8, 10, and 12, with claim 12 being withdrawn as non-elected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,834 Page 3

Art Unit: 1798

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

5. Regarding claim 1, the phrase "lyocell type" renders the claim indefinite because the claim includes elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "type"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim unascertainable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US 6,235,392 issued to Luo et al. as set forth in section 2 of the last Office Action (Final Rejection mailed September 2, 2010).

Applicant has amended claim 1 to limit the method to the step of "using of a cellulosic fiber of the lyocell type produced using a dry-wet spinning process." However, said limitation is insufficient to overcome the standing rejection. Specifically, the recitation to the dry-wet spinning process is not a positive process limitation (e.g., not claiming "a process of dry-wet spinning a lyocell fiber and using said fibers in carpets, etc."), but merely descriptive of the product employed in the carpets, etc. As such, said limitation is only afforded patentable weight to the extent that said dry-wet process produces a lyocell fiber product. Hence, said limitation is insufficient to overcome the prior art rejection since Luo clearly teaches a lyocell fiber product

Art Unit: 1798

having the claimed titer. Applicant has still not shown that the fibers produced by Luo's method would not possess the claimed V ratio. Therefore, the rejection stands.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US 4,246,221 issued to McCorsley.

In the event the "dry-wet spinning process" becomes a positive limitation, the claims are rejected over McCorsley. McCorsley discloses lyocell fibers produced by said dry-wet spinning process, wherein said fibers are suitable for making cellulosic fabrics having properties similar to cotton (abstract, col. 1, lines 15-43, and col. 2, lines 35-65). Working samples A-H have a denier per filament ranging from 5.3-48.7 denier (5.9-54.1 dtex). The spun filaments may be cut into staple length fibers (col. 12, lines 15-22). Fabrics made from the inventive fibers include draperies (i.e., decoration material) (col. 12, lines 22-26).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,834

Art Unit: 1798

Thus, McCorsley teaches the invention of claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10 with the exception of the claimed V ratio. Although the reference does not explicitly teach the V ratio property, it is reasonable to presume that said property is inherent to the invention. Support for said presumption is found in the use of similar materials (i.e., lyocell fiber having the a titer of 6-25 dtex) and in the similar production steps (i.e., dry-wet spinning process) used to produce the lyocell fiber. The burden is upon applicant to prove otherwise. *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 495. In the alternative, the claimed V ratio would obviously have been provided by the process disclosed by McCorsley. Note *In re Best*, 195 USPQ 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to the providing of this rejection under 35 USC 103 in addition to the rejection made above under 35 USC 102. Therefore, claims 1-4, 6-8, and 10 are rejected as being anticipated by or obvious over the cited prior art.

Page 5

Conclusion

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner can be emailed at cheryl.juska@uspto.gov or the examiner's supervisor, Angela Ortiz can be reached at 571-272-1206. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/560,834 Page 6

Art Unit: 1798

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> /Cheryl Juska/ **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1798